carbonyl ligand was added to $\mathrm{Mn}(1)$, and the $\mathrm{Mn}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(1)$ distance was lengthened to a nonbonding value, 3.278 (3) $\AA$. The ketonic $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ distance is slightly shorter than that in $3,1.282$ (6) $\AA$, and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ absorption frequency is increased to 1553 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{16}$ The CO addition to 2 is fully reversible, and when solutions of 4 were purged with nitrogen for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, compound 2 was regenerated in essentially a quantitative yield. ${ }^{18}$ The results of this study are summarized in Scheme I. Studies of the reactivity of the ketonic grouping are in progress.
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Fundamental questions concerning the chemistry of the recently isolated carbon clusters ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}, \mathrm{C}_{70}, \mathrm{C}_{84}$, etc. $)^{1}$ include how many substituents can be attached and what, if any, geometrical preferences or electronic directing effects guide the substitution chemistry of these molecules. Several structurally-characterized derivatives of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ have been reported, including ( $t$ $\left.\mathrm{BuC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Os}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{2}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$. ${ }^{3}$ In these cases only two of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ carbon atoms are derivatized. There is evidence that multiply-substituted compounds exist, but these usually occur as mixtures and have not been structurally defined. ${ }^{2.4}$ Here we
(1) (a) Krātschmer, W.; Lamb, L. D.; Fostiropoulos, K.; Huffman, D. R. Nature 1990, 347, 354. (b) Taylor, R.; Hare, J. P.; Abdul-Sada, A. K.; Kroto, H. W.J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1423. (c) Kroto, H. W.; Heath, J. R. O'Brian, S. C. O.; Curl, R. F.; Smalley, R. E. Nature 1985, 318, 162. (d) Stoddart, F. J. Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 71. (e) Kroto, H. Pure Appl. Chem. 1990, 62, 407. (f) Kroto, H. Science 1988, 242, 1139. (g) Hare, J. P.; Kroto, H. W.: Taylor, R. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1991, 177, 394. (h) Cox, D. M.; Behal, S.; Disko, M.; Gorun, S. M.; Greaney, M.; Hsu, C. S.; Kollin, E. B.; Millar, J.; Robbins, J.; Sherwood, R. D.; Tindall, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2940. (i) Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 678. (j) Miller, J. S. Adv. Mater. 1991, 3, 262.
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Figure 1. Diagram of one of the two independent molecules of $\left\{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right\}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{60}$. For clarity, phosphine ethyl groups are not shown. As in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{60}\right),{ }^{3}$ carbons attached to Pt are pulled out from the original $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ frame with their average distance to the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ centroid being $3.687( \pm 0.009) \AA$ (range $3.663-3.697 \AA$ ). Under idealized $T_{h}$ symmetry, there are two other unique symmetry related sets of carbon atoms in the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ frame, i.e. those two bonds from Pt and those three bonds from Pt (average distance to centroid $=3.538( \pm 0.013)$ and $3.526( \pm 0.010) \AA$, respectively). The twists of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ planes relative to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}$ planes are $13.2^{\circ}(\mathrm{Pt} 1), 15.8^{\circ}(\mathrm{Pt} 2)$, and $9.6^{\circ}(\mathrm{Pt} 3)$. (Values of $12.5^{\circ}$, $2.6^{\circ}$, and $17.0^{\circ}$ are found for the other molecule.) The Pt atoms bend away to different extents from the normal to the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface. For example, the Pt 3 -midpoint ( $\mathrm{C} 22, \mathrm{C} 23 \mathrm{a}$ )-centroid ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) angle is $175.1^{\circ}$ whereas for Pt 1 and Pt 2 these angles are $177.8^{\circ}$ and $179.4^{\circ}$, respectively (values of $173.8,178.5$, and $178.8^{\circ}$ are observed for the other molecule). Bond distances and angles about the Pt atoms are as follows: average $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}$ (bonds G , Figure 2) $=2.115( \pm 0.017) \AA$, range 2.084 (8) -2.137 (9) $\AA$; average $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ (bonds F , Figure 2$)=2.261( \pm 0.007) ~ \AA$, range 2.251 (3)-2.272 (3) $\AA$; average $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}=111.8( \pm 1.2)^{\circ}$, range 110.5 (1) $-114.0(1)^{\circ}$; average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}=41.4( \pm 0.2)^{\circ}$, range $41.3(3)^{\circ}-41.8$ $(3)^{\circ}$; average $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}$ (smaller angle) $=103.7( \pm 3.6)^{\circ}$, range 98.0 $(3)^{\circ}-110.9(2)^{\circ}$.
describe the characterization of the hexa-substituted platinum derivative $\left\{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right\}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{60}$. This is the first example of a reaction that selectively forms a single isomer of a highly-substituted $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative in high yield. The phosphorus, platinum, and $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ atoms have nearly ideal and rarely observed $T_{h}$ point group symmetry.
Addition of $0.724 \mathrm{~g}(1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{4} \mathrm{Pt}^{5}$ to a solution of $75 \mathrm{mg}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in 5 mL of benzene produced a dark orange-brown solution. After 10 min , solvent and released triethylphosphine were removed in vacuo. Benzene was added until the compound all dissolved, and the solution was filtered. After removal of solvent, hexane (ca. 6-10 mL) was added to the flask; the air-sensitive, orange, crystalline solid was collected by filtration, washed three times with $1-2-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of hexane, and dried in vacuo to obtain an $88 \%$ yield of $\left\{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right\}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{60}{ }^{6}$
The simplicity of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum (one resonance) and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (three resonances for $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in a $2: 2: 1$ ratio) as well as the observed couplings among the spin $1 / 2$ nuclei allowed unambiguous assignment of the structure. ${ }^{6}$ The molecule has a $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ core bearing six octahedrally-disposed $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ groups

[^0]

Figure 2. With $T_{h}$ symmetry there are five unique symmetry-related sets of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ framework ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ ). The average values of these bonds are shown and were determined using distances obtained for both independent molecules. These bonds had the following ranges of values ( $\AA$ ): A, 1.490 (11)-1.508 (12); B, 1.446 (13)-1.511 (13); C, 1.366 (13)-1.427 (11); D, 1.453 (12)-1.503 (11); E, 1.392 (13)-1.455 (11). There are eight unique angles subtended by bonds A, B, C, D, and $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{deg}): \mathrm{AB}=117.5( \pm 0.9)$, range 115.3 (8)-119.1 (7); $\mathrm{BB}=104.5$ $( \pm 0.9)$, range $102.9(8)-106.0(8) ; \mathrm{BC}=122.1( \pm 0.9)$, range 119.1 (9)-123.4 (9); $\mathrm{BE}=109.6$ ( $\pm 0.8$ ), range 107.8 (9)-110.8 (8); $\mathrm{CD}=$ $120.2( \pm 0.8)$, range $118.2(8)-120.9$ (8); CE (attached to $B)=119.2$ $( \pm 0.8)$, range $117.7(9)-121.0(10) ; C E($ attached to $D)=120.7( \pm 0.8)$, range 119.1 (9)-122.1 (8); $\mathrm{DE}=107.8( \pm 0.7)$, range $106.5(8)-109.1$ (9)
(each bound in a dihapto manner) and having overall $T_{h}$ point group symmetry (ignoring the ethyl groups). X-ray crystallographic results on $\left\{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right\}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{60}$ confirmed this. ${ }^{7}$ The accuracy of this structure ${ }^{7}$ is much improved compared to previous $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ structures. ${ }^{2,3}$ The asymmetric unit consists of two half molecules on different inversion centers that generate two independent molecules (Figure 1). Both molecules are essentially identical with differences in the ethyl group orientations and in the twisting and bending of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ groups about the Pt -alkene bonds; because of this twisting, each molecular core deviates slightly from perfect $T_{h}$ point group symmetry. Relative to free $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, coordination of Pt lengthens the attached and adjacent $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds (bonds A and B in Figure 2) which approach values of typical $C-C$ single bonds. Bonds $D$ are also lengthened, and we propose these are forced to stretch owing to both the lengthening of the A bonds which are parallel to it and the lengthening of the B bonds which could also exert a net strain parallel to D. As in $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ itself, bond-length alternation (for which there is evidence experimentally and theoretically $)^{2,3,8}$ persists in the eight sixmembered rings composed of bonds C and E (planar to within $\leq 0.019 \AA$ ). Bonds $C$ (exo to the five-membered rings) are shortest leading to the favored resonance form depicted in Figure 2. For comparison, in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$ the two distinct bond types averaged to $1.39( \pm 0.04)$ and $1.44( \pm 0.04) \AA .^{3}$

The octahedral array of platinum atoms in this structure is readily understood. All evidence to date suggests that for metal complexation the bonds between two fused six-membered rings

[^1]in $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ are the most reactive, these bonds being shorter and having the most double bond character. ${ }^{2,3,8}$ There are a total of 30 such six-six ring fusions in $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. Binding of a $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ group at one of these bonds sterically protects four of the surrounding six-six ring junctions. Thus, placing six $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ groups on $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ essentially blocks all of the remaining 24 six-six ring bonds to further attack by platinum.

In conclusion, $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ can be substituted multiple times by elec-tron-rich reagents, and a total of at least 12 carbon atoms of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ framework can participate in bonding. These results suggest that the geometry of substitution about $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ is controlled in this case by the special electronic structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ (with localization of double bonds between the six-six ring fusions) and the size of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ groups. We are investigating the geometry of the less-substituted $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives which are the intermediates leading up to this novel compound.
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## Amine Photoredox Reactions: A Photoinduced "Methylene Shuttle" Initiated via Two-Electron Oxidation of a Tertiary Amine by Anthraquinone
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The photooxidation of tertiary amines by excited electron acceptors is generally indicated to occur by a sequence of elec-tron-transfer quenching (SET), proton transfer, and electron transfer. ${ }^{1-10}$ Although examples of rather clean reactivity to afford net two-electron products such as enamines or iminium ions are known. ${ }^{4-12}$ these reactions often give complex mixtures and evidence for a variety of radical intermediates. The formation of oxidation products (enamines and/or secondary amines) which are themselves good donors frequently frustrates utilization of this reaction for preparative purposes. In the present paper we report an example of a tertiary amine photooxidation in which selective net two-electron oxidation of the amine leads to novel products in excellent yield and efficiency via self-condensation of highly reactive two-electron redox intermediates.
Previous investigations have established that irradiation of 9,10 -anthraquinone (AQ) in the presence of potential reductants
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    (6) Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{132} \mathrm{H}_{180} \mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 47.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.48$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 47.13$; H , 5.18. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 121.7 MHz , external standard $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 16.6(\mathrm{~s}$, $J_{\mathrm{P}_{-\mathrm{Pr}}}=3.777 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.10\left(\right.$ multiplet, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and 1.81 (multiplet, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 75.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.0$ (singlet with ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites, $J_{\mathrm{CwP}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 20.6 (multiplet, $\mathrm{AXX}^{\prime}$ spin system with overlapping ${ }^{19} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites, $J_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}-\mathrm{C} \approx 38 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{av})=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 79.2 (multiplet, AXX' spin system with ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites, $J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}} \approx$ $\left.203 \mathrm{~Hz}, J^{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{av})=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}\right), 142.1$ (singlet, $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 153.8 (singlet with ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites, $\left.J_{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}}=26 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}-C-\mathrm{C}\right)$.

[^1]:    (7) Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{132} \mathrm{H}_{180} \mathrm{P}_{12} \mathrm{Pt}_{6}$; dark red parallelopiped, $\approx 0.12 \times 0.26$ $\times 0.39 \mathrm{~mm}$; triclinic, $P_{1}^{1}$ (No. 2); $a=14.841$ (3) $\AA, b=15.419$ (1) $\AA, c=$ 30.882 (2) $\AA, \alpha=95.25(1)^{\circ}, \beta=98.74(1)^{\circ}, \gamma=112.20(1)^{\circ}$ (from 25 reflections) $; \boldsymbol{Z}=2 ; V=6380.9^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{3} ; T=-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{FW}=3309.12 ; D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.722$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$; final $R=0.043, R_{w}=0.033$. For bond distances, numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations. An error which contains " $\pm$ " notation is the standard deviation of the calculated average of a number of bond measurements.
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